

GRÉNGT BLAT 2023

JANUAR



gremg.lu/mamer

Lokalsektionen Gemeng Mamer

E SCHÉINT NEIT JOER
HAPPY NEW YEAR

BONNE ANNÉE

GLÜCKLICHES NEUES JAHR

FELIZ ANO NOVO

INVITATIOUN NEIJOERSCHPATT
INVITATION POT DU NOUVEL AN
INVITATION NEW YEAR'S DRINK

22.01
@11:00
MAMER SCHLASS



Alles Guddes am Neie Joer!

Am Ufank vun dem neie Joer lueden déi gréng Mamer lech op hiren Neijoerschpatt an.

Sonndeg, den 22. Januar um 11:00 am «Mamer Schlass».

Fir lessen a Gedréngs ass gesuert!

A Prezenz vun eise Parteipresident*innen an weideren nationale Mandatairen

Meilleurs vœux pour le Nouvel An !

En ce début de la nouvelle année, déi gréng Mamer vous invitent à leur pot du Nouvel An.

Dimanche, le 22 janvier à 11 heures au «Mamer Schlass».

All the best for the new year!

At the beginning of this new year, déi gréng Schëtter would like to invite you to a New Year's drink.

Sunday, 22nd January starting 11 am in the «Mamer Schlass».

Déi gréng Mamer – our budget analysis and proposa

One can only be surprised when looking at the last budget of this legislation period: next year EUR 60 million will be invested in additional projects. All the more so when municipalities throughout the country are ringing the alarm bells because interests are increasing! Is our municipality still in control of its figures at this time of crisis? Would more caution not be required at this point in time?

Déi gréng Mamer note: many pompous buildings, many festivities and attractions but little support for a sustainable environment and little financial support for the inhabitants of the municipality.

The greatest concerns of the inhabitants are the lack of affordable housing, the consequences of climate change and the future of their children.

Déi gréng Mamer would have liked the municipality to take these concerns seriously and to play a pioneering role in these areas. In the 2021 budget we made concrete proposals that were hardly followed up.

Energy efficiency and advice: the initial appropriation has been revised downwards again! In this time of energy crisis, however, the municipality should take responsibility and promote renewable energy to the public.

Climate Pact 2.0: what has become of the ambition, set as a target in 2017 by the college of aldermen for a “Gold” certification?

At present, apart from the guideline (objectives to be achieved before 2030) and the photovoltaic panels at Kinneksbond, nothing has been achieved! We demand more ambition, more concrete proposals and accelerated speed in implementing the 2.0 climate pact.

Nature pact: between the signing of the agreement in 2021 and now, nothing has been achieved! We demand a clear strategy with concrete proposals for a sustainable and green municipality that protects resources and biodiversity.

Inclusion and equal opportunity: déi gréng were satisfied when our proposal to carry out a study on the accessibility of public space for all was voted, but we were disappointed when absolutely NOTHING was undertaken in this direction.

Responsibility for affordable housing:

We appreciate the fact that the municipality carries out projects together with SNHBM (National Affordable Housing Company) In June 2021, the municipal council unanimously voted for a déi gréng motion to move forward the housing pact 2.0.... So far, we don't know what has been achieved. We believe that the municipality could take on more responsibilities in this area by creating a “service du logement” and investing in affordable and innovative housing projects. Housing for students and seniors, concepts for "Tiny Houses" could be interesting initiatives, as long as there is a wish for this ...

Déi gréng voted against the budget: the scale of investment in the many construction projects does not leave sufficient reserves for the environment and nature protection areas, for more energy efficiency requirements and for a municipal initiative for the creation of affordable housing.

Investment policy in our municipality

Questions to Adele Schaaf-Haas

At the October municipal council, additional appropriations of EUR 26 million were voted. Why did dei gréng criticise this?

Dei gréng mainly criticised the exorbitant amount of 26 million for 12 projects. A huge sum that is not justified solely by the current crisis and inflation.

For 3 projects, the additional funds exceed the initial amount of the project! Planning and information on these projects are unsatisfactory. We require complete files and information when it comes to voting substantial additional appropriations.

A concrete example: for the music school, an additional appropriation of EUR 890 000 for “a multimedia installation” could not be detailed or explained following our request neither by the architect nor by the college of aldermen.

Does the college of aldermen still have control over its expenditure? Or has our municipality definitively entered the blind consumption mode which transfers debts to future generations?

What are the dei gréng proposals?

We critically look at the additional expenditure and question the lavish finishing of projects in these times of crisis.

This applies to the Wëllebau in the centre of Mamer: the original estimate of EUR 5,8 million was exceeded by 2,6 million, largely due to a copper roof and facade cladding.

We proposed to analyse less expensive alternatives.